## U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney District of Maryland Northern Division

Rod J. Rosenstein United States Attorney

Kristi O'Malley Assistant United States Attorney 36 South Charles Street Fourth Floor

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

DIRECT: 410-209-4940 MAIN: 410-209-4800 FAX: 410-962-0716 TTY/TDD: 410-962-4462 Kristi.O'Malley@usdoj.gov

November 16, 2011

Deborah Boardman Office of the Federal Public Defender For the District of Maryland 100 South Charles Street Tower II, Suite 1100 Baltimore, MD 21201

> United States v. Mark Kahl, Criminal No. CCB-11-0397 Re:

Dear Ms. Boardman:

This letter, together with the Sealed Supplement, confirms the plea agreement which has been offered to the Defendant by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland ("this Office"). If the Defendant accepts this offer, please have him execute it in the spaces provided below. If this offer has not been accepted by November 29, 2011, it will be deemed withdrawn. The terms of the agreement are as follows:

#### Offense of Conviction

The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment now pending against him, which charges him with Receipt of Material Depicting Minors Engaged in Sexually Explicit Conduct, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2). The Defendant admits that he is, in fact, guilty of that offense and will so advise the Court.

#### Elements of the Offense

The elements of the offense to which the Defendant has agreed to plead guilty, and which this Office would prove if the case went to trial, are as follows:

That on or about the date specified in Count One of the Indictment, in the District of Maryland, and elsewhere:

the Defendant knowingly received a visual depiction of sexually explicit a. conduct;

- b. the Defendant knew that the production of the visual depiction involved the use of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and knew that the visual depiction was of such conduct; and
  - c. the visual depiction was transported in interstate or foreign commerce.

#### **Penalties**

- 3.a. The maximum sentence provided by statute for the offense to which the Defendant is pleading guilty is as follows: not less than five (5) years and not more than twenty (20) years, but if such person has a prior qualifying conviction as detailed in 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(b)(1), not less than fifteen (15) years and not more than forty (40) years imprisonment; a \$250,000 fine, and supervised release for life. In addition, the Defendant must pay \$100 as a special assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013, which will be due and should be paid at or before the time of sentencing. This Court may also order him to make restitution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 2259, 3663, 3663A, and 3664.¹ If a fine or restitution is imposed, it shall be payable immediately, unless, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3572(d), the Court orders otherwise. The Defendant understands that if he serves a term of imprisonment, is released on supervised release, and then violates the conditions of his supervised release, his supervised release could be revoked even on the last day of the term and the Defendant could be returned to custody to serve another period of incarceration and a new term of supervised release. The Defendant understands that the Bureau of Prisons has sole discretion in designating the institution at which the Defendant will serve any term of imprisonment imposed.
- 3.b. The defendant understands and agrees that as a consequence of his conviction for the crime or crimes to which he is pleading guilty, he will be required to register as a sex offender, and to keep that registration current, in the place where he resides, where he is employed, and where he is a student, pursuant to the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA), and the laws of the state of his residence. Failure to do so may violate the terms of his supervised release and subject him to new criminal charges pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2250.

#### Waiver of Rights

- 4. The Defendant understands that by entering into this agreement, he surrenders certain rights as outlined below:
- a. If the Defendant had persisted in his plea of not guilty, he would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the close assistance of competent counsel. That trial could be conducted by a judge, without a jury, if the Defendant, this Office, and the Court all agreed.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612, if the Court imposes a fine in excess of \$2,500 that remains unpaid 15 days after it is imposed, the Defendant shall be charged interest on that fine, unless the Court modifies the interest payment in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f)(3).

- b. If the Defendant elected a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve individuals selected from the community. Counsel and the Defendant would have the opportunity to challenge prospective jurors who demonstrated bias or who were otherwise unqualified, and would have the opportunity to strike a certain number of jurors peremptorily. All twelve jurors would have to agree unanimously before the Defendant could be found guilty of any count. The jury would be instructed that the Defendant was presumed to be innocent, and that presumption could be overcome only by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- c. If the Defendant went to trial, the government would have the burden of proving the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant would have the right to confront and cross-examine the government's witnesses. The Defendant would not have to present any defense witnesses or evidence whatsoever. If the Defendant wanted to call witnesses in his defense, however, he would have the subpoena power of the Court to compel the witnesses to attend.
- d. The Defendant would have the right to testify in his own defense if he so chose, and he would have the right to refuse to testify. If he chose not to testify, the Court could instruct the jury that they could not draw any adverse inference from his decision not to testify.
- e. If the Defendant were found guilty after a trial, he would have the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's pretrial and trial decisions on the admissibility of evidence to see if any errors were committed which would require a new trial or dismissal of the charges against him. By pleading guilty, the Defendant knowingly gives up the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's decisions.
- f. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will be giving up all of these rights, except the right, under the limited circumstances set forth in the "Waiver of Appeal" paragraph below, to appeal the sentence. By pleading guilty, the Defendant understands that he may have to answer the Court's questions both about the rights he is giving up and about the facts of his case. Any statements the Defendant makes during such a hearing would not be admissible against him during a trial except in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement.
- g. If the Court accepts the Defendant's plea of guilty, there will be no further trial or proceeding of any kind, and the Court will find him guilty.
- h. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will also be giving up certain valuable civil rights and may be subject to deportation or other loss of immigration status. The Defendant recognizes that if he is not a citizen of the United States, pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to his immigration status. Under federal law, conviction for a broad range of crimes can lead to adverse immigration consequences, including automatic removal from the United States. Removal and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, however, and the Defendant understands that no one, including his attorney or the Court, can predict with certainty the effect of a conviction on immigration status.

Defendant nevertheless affirms that he wants to plead guilty regardless of any potential immigration consequences.

## Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Apply

5. The Defendant understands that the Court will determine a sentencing guidelines range for this case (henceforth the "advisory guidelines range") pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 at 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3742 (excepting 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(b)(1) and 3742(e)) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991 through 998. The Defendant further understands that the Court will impose a sentence pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act, as excised, and must take into account the advisory guidelines range in establishing a reasonable sentence.

## Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation

- 6. This Office and the Defendant understand, agree and stipulate to the Statement of Facts set forth in Attachment A hereto which this Office would prove beyond a reasonable doubt, and to the following applicable sentencing guidelines factors:
- a. The base offense level is twenty-two (22) pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(a)(2).
- b. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(b)(1), there is a two (2) level decrease because the defendant's conduct was limited to receipt or solicitation of material involving the sexual exploitation of a minor and the defendant did not intend to traffic in, or distribute, such material.
- c. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(b)(2), there is a two (2) level increase because the material involved a prepubescent minor or a minor who had not attained the age of 12 years.
- c. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(b)(5), there is a five (5) level increase because the defendant engaged in a pattern of activity involving sex abuse or exploitation of a minor.
- d. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(b)(6), there is a two (2) level increase because the offense involved the use of a computer.
- e. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(b)(7)(A), there is a two (2) level increase because the offense involved at least 10 but fewer than 150 images.
- f. This Office does not oppose a two-level reduction in the Defendant's adjusted offense level, based upon the Defendant's apparent prompt recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for his criminal conduct. This Office agrees to make a

motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) for an additional one-level decrease in recognition of the Defendant's timely notification of his intention to plead guilty. This Office may oppose any adjustment for acceptance of responsibility if the Defendant (a) fails to admit each and every item in the factual stipulation; (b) denies involvement in the offense; (c) gives conflicting statements about his involvement in the offense; (d) is untruthful with the Court, this Office, or the United States Probation Office; (e) obstructs or attempts to obstruct justice prior to sentencing; (f) engages in any criminal conduct between the date of this agreement and the date of sentencing; or (g) attempts to withdraw his plea of guilty.

# The final base offense level, therefore, is twenty-six (28).

- 7. The Defendant understands, agrees and admits that because he has at least one prior conviction involving sexual abuse of a minor, he shall be imprisoned **not less than 15** years and not more than 40 years, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(b)(1). The Defendant understands that there is no other agreement as to his criminal history or criminal history category, and that his criminal history could alter his offense level if he is a career offender or if the instant offense was a part of a pattern of criminal conduct from which he derived a substantial portion of his income.
- 8. This Office and the Defendant agree that with respect to the calculation of the advisory guidelines range, no other offense characteristics, sentencing guidelines factors, potential departures or adjustments set forth in the United States Sentencing Guidelines will be raised or are in dispute.
- 9. The parties reserve the right to bring to the Court's attention at the time of sentencing, and the Court will be entitled to consider, all relevant information concerning the Defendant's background, character and conduct.
  - 10. The parties agree that lifetime supervised release is appropriate in this case.

#### Obligations of the United States Attorney's Office

11. At the time of sentencing, this Office will recommend a sentence of 15 years to run concurrent to the sentence the Defendant is currently serving on his revocation of parole on his conviction in the Circuit Court for Calvert County, Maryland.

#### <u>Forfeiture</u>

12. The defendant understands that the court will, upon acceptance of his guilty plea, enter an order of forfeiture as part of his sentence, and that the order of forfeiture may include assets directly traceable to his offense, substitute assets and/or a money judgment equal to the value of the property derived from, or otherwise involved in, the offense. Specifically, as a consequence of the Defendant's plea of guilty to Count One charging a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2), the court will order the forfeiture of all visual depictions described in Section 2252A, any matter which

contains such visual depictions, any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross profits or other proceeds obtained from such offense, and any property, real or personal, used or intended to be used to commit or promote the commission of such offense, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2253, including but not limited to the following: a Dell Inspiron N5010 notebook computer with serial number 6NL5ZM1 containing one Seagate Momentus ST9640320AS hard disk drive with serial number 5W1DAVW. The defendant agrees to consent to the entry of orders of forfeiture for such property and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, advice regarding the forfeiture at the change-of-plea hearing, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment.

## Assisting the Government with Regard to the Forfeiture

13. The defendant agrees to assist fully in the forfeiture of the foregoing assets. The defendant agrees to disclose all of his assets and sources of income to the United States, and to take all steps necessary to pass clear title to the forfeited assets to the United States, including but not limited to executing any and all documents necessary to transfer such title, assisting in bringing any assets located outside of the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States, and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that assets subject to forfeiture are not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture.

#### Waiver of Further Review of Forfeiture

14. The defendant further agrees to waive all constitutional, legal and equitable challenges (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this Plea Agreement on any grounds, including that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. The defendant also agrees not to challenge or seek review of any civil or administrative forfeiture of any property subject to forfeiture under this agreement, and will not assist any third party with regard to such challenge or review or with regard to the filing of a petition for remission of forfeiture.

### Waiver of Appeal

- 15. In exchange for the concessions made by this Office and the Defendant in this plea agreement, this Office and the Defendant waive their rights to appeal as follows:
- a. The Defendant knowingly waives all right, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291 or otherwise, to appeal the Defendant's conviction;
- b. The Defendant and this Office knowingly waive all right, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3742 or otherwise, to appeal whatever sentence is imposed (including the right to appeal any issues that relate to the establishment of the advisory guidelines range, the determination of the defendant's criminal history, the weighing of the sentencing factors, and the decision whether to impose and the calculation of any term of imprisonment, fine, order of forfeiture, order of restitution, and term or condition of supervised release), except as follows: (i) the Defendant reserves the right

to appeal any term of imprisonment to the extent that it exceeds 15 years; (ii) and this Office reserves the right to appeal any term of imprisonment to the extent that it is below 15 years.

- c. Nothing in this agreement shall be construed to prevent the Defendant or this Office from invoking the provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35(a), or from appealing from any decision thereunder, should a sentence be imposed that resulted from arithmetical, technical, or other clear error.
- d. The Defendant waives any and all rights under the Freedom of Information Act relating to the investigation and prosecution of the above-captioned matter and agrees not to file any request for documents from this Office or any investigating agency.

### Obstruction or Other Violations of Law

or local law between the date of this agreement and his sentencing in this case. In the event that the Defendant (i) engages in conduct after the date of this agreement which would justify a finding of obstruction of justice under U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, or (ii) fails to accept personal responsibility for his conduct by failing to acknowledge his guilt to the probation officer who prepares the Presentence Report, or (iii) commits any offense in violation of federal, state or local law, then this Office will be relieved of its obligations to the Defendant as reflected in this agreement. Specifically, this Office will be free to argue sentencing guidelines factors other than those stipulated in this agreement, and it will also be free to make sentencing recommendations other than those set out in this agreement. As with any alleged breach of this agreement, this Office will bear the burden of convincing the Court of the Defendant's obstructive or unlawful behavior and/or failure to acknowledge personal responsibility by a preponderance of the evidence. The Defendant acknowledges that he may not withdraw his guilty plea because this Office is relieved of its obligations under the agreement pursuant to this paragraph.

#### Court Not a Party

In the federal system, the sentence to be imposed is within the sole discretion of the Court. In particular, the Defendant understands that neither the United States Probation Office nor the Court is bound by the stipulation set forth above, and that the Court will, with the aid of the Presentence Report, determine the facts relevant to sentencing. The Defendant understands that the Court cannot rely exclusively upon the stipulation in ascertaining the factors relevant to the determination of sentence. Rather, in determining the factual basis for the sentence, the Court will consider the stipulation, together with the results of the presentence investigation, and any other relevant information. The Defendant understands that the Court is under no obligation to accept this Office's recommendations, and the Court has the power to impose a sentence up to and including the statutory maximum stated above. The Defendant understands that if the Court ascertains factors different from those contained in the stipulation set forth above, or if the Court should impose any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, the Defendant cannot, for that reason alone,

withdraw his guilty plea, and will remain bound to fulfill all of his obligations under this agreement. The Defendant understands that neither the prosecutor, his counsel, nor the Court can make a binding prediction, promise, or representation as to what guidelines range or sentence the Defendant will receive. The Defendant agrees that no one has made such a binding prediction or promise.

#### Entire Agreement

18. This letter supersedes any prior understandings, promises, or conditions between this Office and the Defendant and, together with the Sealed Supplement, constitutes the complete plea agreement in this case. The Defendant acknowledges that there are no other agreements, promises, undertakings or understandings between the Defendant and this Office other than those set forth in this letter and the Sealed Supplement and none will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

If the Defendant fully accepts each and every term and condition of this agreement, please sign and have the Defendant sign the original and return it to me promptly.

Very truly yours,

Rod J. Rosenstein United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

I have read this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it, and I voluntarily agree to it. Specifically, I have reviewed the Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation with my attorney, and I do not wish to change any part of it. I am completely satisfied with the representation of my attorney.

11/17/11

mark a soft

I am Mark Kahl's attorney. I have carefully reviewed every part of this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, with him. He advises me that he understands and accepts its terms. To my knowledge, his decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

Deborah Boardman, Esquire

## **ATTACHMENT A - STATEMENT OF FACTS**

The undersigned parties hereby stipulate and agree that if this case had proceeded to trial, the government would have proven the following facts beyond a reasonable doubt. The undersigned parties also stipulate and agree that the following facts do not encompass all of the evidence that would have been presented had this matter proceeded to trial.

Mark Kahl, age 50, is a resident of Perry Point, Maryland.

In January 1989, Kahl was convicted in Los Angeles County Superior Court of six counts of lewd acts upon a child, two counts of oral copulation of a person under 14, one count of attempted sodomy of a person under 14 with 10 years age difference, and two counts of child molesting. This conviction was based on the sexual abuse of young boys between the ages of 7 and 11 years old.

In September 1997, Kahl was convicted in Circuit Court for Calvert County, Maryland of sex offense - second degree and sex offense - third degree. These convictions were based on the sexual abuse of two young teenage boys. Kahl was sentenced to a total of twenty years for these convictions and served just over thirteen years before being paroled in late January 2011. Based in part on Kahl's admissions regarding child pornography described in more detail below, Kahl's parole was revoked. As a result, he is currently serving the balance of his sentence (7 years).

On April 12, 2011, Kahl participated in a polygraph examination as part of the conditions of his parole and probation. During a pre-polygraph interview, Kahl admitted that he had recently viewed child pornography. After completing a polygraph examination and after receiving and waiving his Miranda rights, Kahl participated in a noncustodial interview. Kahl admitted to searching for sexually explicit images of young boys on the Internet. He also admitted a sexual attraction to young boys. A consensual forensic preview of his computer revealed several images of child pornography involving young males believed to be between the ages of 10 to 15 years old.

A more thorough forensic review of Kahl's computer pursuant to a search warrant revealed 10 images of child pornography depicting young males displaying their genitals. All of these images were downloaded by Kahl from the Internet to his computer on March 26 or 27, 2011. The forensic review also revealed that the defendant conducted Internet searches for the phrase "8 to 15 year old boys who masturbate." Because Kahl downloaded the images of child pornography from the Internet, those images were transported in interstate commerce.

I have read this statement of facts and carefully reviewed it with my attorney. I acknowledge that it is true and correct.

11/17/11 Date mark Kahl

# Case 1:11-cr-00397-CCB Document 25 Filed 11/30/11 Page 10 of 10

I am Mr. Kahl's attorney. I have carefully reviewed this statement of facts with him.

11/17///

Deborah Boardman, Esquire